Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/993,252	INGENHOVEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jan M. Ludlow	1743
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: Ex Parte Quayle
(1) <u>Jan M. Ludlow</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Eric Moran</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Amendments removing improper multiple dependency and correct was not reached	RAL NATURE OF WHAT cting minor erros were prese	WAS DISCUSSED: anted by the examiner, but agreement
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a	written summary of the substance
		·
JAN LUDLOW PRIMARY EXAMINER		
- Mille		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)